

# Using continuous methane measurements for inventory development on oil and gas sites: three case studies

William Daniels<sup>1</sup>, Meng Jia<sup>1</sup>, Dorit Hammerling<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Colorado School of Mines

## Motivation

- Creating accurate, annualized methane emissions tallies (“inventories”) for the oil and gas sector is a critical aspect of recent environmental regulation (e.g., the Inflation Reduction Act).
- The current method for creating inventories utilizes out-of-date emissions factors rather than actual data (i.e., measurements).
- Much research has gone into updating these inventories, often using snapshot methane measurements from a plane or drone.
- However, methane emissions have a high degree of temporal variability. Therefore, it is hard to produce accurate inventories using only a small number of snapshot measurements, as the snapshot measurements may or may not capture large emissions that are far from the year-long average.
- **Here we demonstrate how continuous methane measurements can supplement snapshot measurements when creating measurement-based emissions inventories.**

## Continuous monitoring systems (CMS)

- CMS measure ambient methane concentrations at their location, usually once per minute, not emission rates directly.




Figure 1: Example oil and gas facility with three CMS sensors installed.

- We have created a framework for estimating emission source location and rate by pattern matching simulated concentrations to actual concentration observations. **See Meng’s poster for details!**

## Use CMS to verify snapshot measurements

- A very large snapshot measurement was followed by a zero measurement three minutes later. Is the zero measurement valid?




Figure 2: CMS observations and simulated concentrations on site shown in Figure 1.

- Simulate what a constant tank emission at 2860 scfh would look like at SSE sensor.
- **Conclusion: second snapshot measurement likely a false negative, as simulation closely aligns with observed concentrations.**

## Use CMS to place snapshot measurements in context

- The 2860 scfh measurement drove up the average snapshot measurement. Are emissions this large typical on this site?




Figure 3: CMS-based rate estimates and snapshot measurements on site in Figure 1.

- Use the CMS data collected on this site to estimate emission rates over a long (6-month) time period.
- **Conclusion: 2860 scfh snapshot measurement was an unusually large emission for the site, as it is in the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of all CMS-based emission rate estimates.**

## Use CMS to create measurement-based inventory

- There was a large gap between the conventional inventory and snapshot measurements taken in April, 2022. Is the gap due to temporal variability or an underestimated inventory?




Figure 4: Conventional methane emissions inventory and CMS-based, measurement-based inventory for the same time period. Note that the Sales Line is not owned by the operator and hence is excluded from both inventories.

- Create a measurement-based inventory by taking monthly averages of the CMS-based rate estimates separated by emission source.
- **Conclusion: CMS-based inventory reveals that conventional inventory did not adjust to GPU swap on February 23, 2022.**

## Conclusions

1. CMS can be used to verify snapshot measurements.
2. CMS can be used to place snapshot measurements within the temporal emissions profile for a given site.
3. CMS can be used to create measurement-based methane emissions inventories.

For more details, see Daniels et al. preprint!

## References

- [1] W. S. Daniels et al. “Towards multi-scale measurement-informed methane inventories: reconciling bottom-up inventories with top-down measurements using continuous monitoring systems”. In: *ChemRxiv* (2023). DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-jp5nt.